



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,120

05/31/2007

Michel Bruel

4717-36800

7416

28765 7590 07/06/2011

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
PATENT DEPARTMENT  
1700 K STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

KHARE, ATUL P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1742

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/06/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com  
mwalker@winston.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/574,120	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRUEL, MICHEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> ATUL P. KHARE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1742	

**All Participants:**

(1) ATUL P. KHARE.

(2) Allan A. Fanucci (Applicant's Representative).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 June 2011

**Time:** 12:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*34-53*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Moriceau (WO 99/35674), Habegger (US 6417075)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christina Johnson/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1742  
 /ATUL P. KHARE/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1742

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed the newly proposed claims, some of which are substantially identical to the original set of examined claims. Applicant's Representative pointed to portions of the instant specification which provide support for new limitations which have been presented in the dependent claims (such as in claim 40). Applicant's Representative pointed to some deficiencies of the prior art references in meeting the disclosed method. .